

Department of Metallurgical Engineering MY-408: Metallurgical Engineering Project **RUBRIC FOR EVALUATION OF FYP ORAL PRESENTATION**



Department	Metallurgical Engineering	Batch	
Student Name		Course Code	MY-408
Name of Project Supervisor		Course Title	Metallurgical Engineering Project
Student Roll No		Project Title	
Group No		Semester	

		Level of Achievement							
S.No.	to. Components (5)		(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)			
1.	Organization of Presentation	Logical sequence, provides explanations and can elaborate	Logical sequence, provides explanations but fails to elaborate.	Logical sequence but cannot provide explanations and elaborate.	No logical sequence, provides explanations but fails to elaborate.	No logical sequence, explanations and elaboration.			
2.	Eye Contact	Maintains eye contact with audience throughout the presentation.	Maintains eye contact with audience most of the time, with occasional reference to notes.	Maintains eye contact with audience some of the time, but refers frequently to notes.	Reads most or all of report, making little eye contact with audience.	Reads all of report and no eye contact with audience.			
3.	Delivery of Presentation	Speaks clearly and loud enough for all in audience to hear, and pronounces all terms correctly and precisely.	Voice is clear, but too quietly to be heard by all of audience. Pronounces all terms correctly and precisely.	Voice is clear, but too quietly to be heard by all of audience Presenter mispronounces some terms.	Voice is unclear and too quietly to be heard by many in audience. Presenter mispronounces many terms.	Voice is not clear. Presenter mispronounces all terms.			
4.	Completeness of Project, Timeline	The project is completed in the timely manner with all features implemented.	Most features of the project were completed and timeline as defined in the proposal was followed.	Major features of the project are completed. However, the timeline was not followed.	Some major features of the project are completed but the timeline for project was not followed.	No features of the project are completed and the timeline for project was not followed.			

Department of Metallurgical Engineering MY-408: Metallurgical Engineering Project **RUBRIC FOR EVALUATION OF FYP ORAL PRESENTATION**

5.	Team Work	All members contributed to the project. Cooperation between group members was excellent. Work division is clearly mentioned.	All members contributed to the project. Cooperation between group members was reasonable. Work division is mentioned.	Not all members contributed to the project. Work division is not mentioned.	Only one member did all the work. Other members could not answer basic questions about the project.	Only one member did all the work. Other members could not answer very basic questions and have no understanding about the project.
6.	Questions & Answers	Addresses all questions in a manner that demonstrates a thorough command of the topic.	Demonstrates an ability to address most questions in a thoughtful and effective manner.	Answers questions about the topic in an acceptable manner.	Answers only basic questions about the topic or addresses them in a superficial manner.	Cannot answer basic questions about the topic.

S.NO.		PLO		Components		Level of Achie			chievement		Score
								(1-5)			
1.	PLO-10: Con	nmunication	Organizat	tion of Presentation		1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆	
2.	PLO-10: Communication		Eye Contact		1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆		
3.	3. PLO-10: Communication		Delivery of Presentation			1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆	
4.	4. PLO-11: Project Management		Completeness of Project, Timeline		1 🗖	2 🗖	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆		
5. PLO-9: Individual and Teamwork		Team Wo	ork		1 🗖	2 🗖	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆		
5.	5. PLO-1: Engineering Knowledge		Questions	s & Answers		1 🗆	2 🗆	3 🗆	4 🗖	5 🗆	
[Note that the score for the oral presentation is worth 60% of the final mark for the project. Examiner 1 and examiner 2 will evaluate 30 marks each] Total Score (out of 30)											
Name o	of Examiner 1			Signature of Examiner 1				D	Date		