

Department of Metallurgical Engineering MY-408: Metallurgical Engineering Project



RUBRIC FOR EVALUATION OF FYP PROPOSAL DEFENSE PRESENTATION

Department	Metallurgical Engineering	Batch	
Student Name		Course Code	MY-408
Name of Project/ Co Supervisor		Course Title	Metallurgical Engineering Project
Student Roll No		Project Title	
Group No		Semester	

		Level of Achievement				
S. No.	Components	(4) (3) (2)		(2)	(1)	
1.	Subject Knowledge	Student has presented full knowledge of both problem and solution. Answers to questions are strengthen by rationalization and explanation.	Student has competent knowledge and is at ease with information. Can answer questions but without rationalization and explanation.	Student is uncomfortable with information. Can answer basic questions only.	Student has no knowledge of both problem and solution. Cannot answer basic questions.	
2.	Problem Statement	Problem statement is stated and covers sufficient justification with reference to the literature review. New reader can understand its value and context.	Problem statement is stated and covers necessary justification with reference to the literature review.	Problem statement is stated but lacks necessary justification with reference to the literature review.	Problem statement is stated but not entirely clear.	
3.	Literature Review	Literature review is excellently written according to the scientific writing standards and covers maximum of the research papers related to project.	Literature review provides a good background and details of the literature. But, it is not written in scientific writing standards for review.	Literature review provides a reasonable description of the project background and its significance. Number of research papers needs to be added more.	Literature Review is written in an ordinary way. Research papers material is not at all clear to a reader.	



Department of Metallurgical Engineering MY-408: Metallurgical Engineering Project



RUBRIC FOR EVALUATION OF FYP PROPOSAL DEFENSE PRESENTATION

4.	Project Overview, Methodology	The methods, approaches, tools, techniques & other aspects of the solution are sufficiently discussed with details and supporting figures.	The methods, approaches, tools, techniques & other aspects of the solution are sufficiently discussed.	The methods, approaches, tools, techniques & other aspects of the solution are discussed but not is a convincing manner. Much is left to the readers' imagination.	The approach that will be taken to solve the problem is not discussed.
5.	Novelty and Creativity	The proposed project is novel and creative. The project solves complex engineering problem.	The proposed project is novel to some extent. The project solves complex engineering problem.	Details of the project novelty are discussed. The novelty of the proposed project is marginal.	The proposed project is not novel & creative. The project appears trivial.

S.NO.	PLO	Description	Level of Achievement			Score	
			(1-4)				
1.	PLO-2: Problem Analysis	Subject Knowledge	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 🗆	
2.	PLO-6: The Engineer & Society	Problem Statement	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 🗆	
3.	PLO-2: Problem Analysis	Literature Review	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 □	
4.	PLO-3: Design / Development of	Development of Project Overview, Methodology		2 🗆	3 □	4 □	
	Solutions						
5.	PLO-12: Lifelong Learning	Novelty and Creativity	1 🗆	2 🗆	3 □	4 □	
[Note that the score for the proposal defense presentation is worth 10% of the final mark for the project. Project supervisor or project Co supervisor will evaluate 20 marks for proposal defense presentation in 7 th semester]			Total Score (out of 20)				
Name of Project Supervisor/Co Supervisor		Signature of Project Supervisor/Co Supervisor			Da	nte	